(3)

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.5039 OF 2004

PETITIONER — Ravinath Singh, S/o. Dalgar Say, Aged about 47 years, R/o. village Chandrapur, Tahsil Surajpur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, S.T. & S.C. Development Department D.K.S Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
2. The Divisional Deputy Commissioner, Tribal Development Department, Bilaspur (C.G.).
3. The Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Development, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.)
4. The Collector, Surguja (C.G.)
5. The District Coordinator, Tribal Welfare Department, Ambikapur, Distt Surguja C.G.
6. The Block Education Officer, Block Ramanujnagar, Tahsil Surajpur, Distt. Surguja (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5020/04 Presented by Shri A.K. Shukla dated 23/12/04

RECEIVED COPY 23/12/04 Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(53)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 50 39/04 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

24.01.2005:-

Shri A.K.Shukla, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Akhil Agrawal, learned P.L. takes notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 6.

The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner as he is aggrieved by the order of promotion of Annexure P/8 by which the other employees who are similarly situated as the petitioner, have been given promotion and even the juniors to the petitioner have been given promotion. The petitioner is further aggrieved by the document of Annexure P/6 wherein at serial No. 275 the name and other details of the petitioner has wrongly been mentioned.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made several representations but the respondents have not cared to rectify the above mistakes for maintaining the seniority list of Annexure P/6. Learned counsel submits that the ends of justice will be served if the petitioner is given liberty to make a representation before the respondents with the direction that the respondents shall decide the same within a stipulated period.

I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties and I am of the opinion that the ends of justice shall be served if the petitioner is given liberty to make representation within a fortnight from today raising all the grievances which he has raised in this petition before the respondents and the respondents, in turn, after receipt of the representation shall decide the same within three months.

With these observations, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
DHIRENDRA MISHRA
Judge

GRPRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.